

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE**

IN RE IMPINJ, INC. SECURITIES  
LITIGATION

No. 3:18-cv-05704-RSL

CLASS ACTION

**ORDER APPROVING  
PLAN OF ALLOCATION OF  
NET SETTLEMENT FUND**

1 This matter came on for hearing on November 19, 2020 (the “Settlement Hearing”) on Lead  
2 Plaintiff’s motion to determine whether the proposed plan of allocation of the Net Settlement Fund  
3 (“Plan of Allocation”) created by the Settlement achieved in the above-captioned class action (the  
4 “Action”) should be approved. The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the  
5 Settlement Hearing and otherwise; and it appearing that notice of the Settlement Hearing substantially  
6 in the form approved by the Court was mailed to all Settlement Class Members who or which could  
7 be identified with reasonable effort, and that a summary notice of the hearing substantially in the  
8 form approved by the Court was published in *The Wall Street Journal* and released over *PR Newswire*  
9 pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the  
10 fairness and reasonableness of the proposed Plan of Allocation,

11 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

12 1. This Order approving the proposed Plan of Allocation incorporates by reference the  
13 definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated July 9, 2020 (ECF No. 91-2) (the  
14 “Stipulation”) and all terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth  
15 in the Stipulation.

16 2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order approving the proposed Plan of  
17 Allocation, and over the subject matter of the Action and all Parties to the Action, including all  
18 Settlement Class Members.

19 3. Notice of Lead Plaintiff’s motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation was  
20 given to all Settlement Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort. The  
21 form and method of notifying the Settlement Class of the motion for approval of the proposed Plan  
22 of Allocation satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Private  
23 Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. § 78u-4), due process, and all other applicable  
24 law and rules, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due  
25 and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.

26 4. No objections to the Plan of Allocation have been received.  
27

5. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the claims of Claimants as set forth in the Plan of Allocation mailed to Settlement Class Members provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund among Settlement Class Members with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.

6. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation is, in all respects, fair and reasonable to the Settlement Class. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation proposed by Lead Plaintiff.

7. Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Court's approval of the Plan of Allocation shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment.

8. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

SO ORDERED this 20th day of November, 2020.

Robert S. Lasnik  
The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik  
United States District Judge